EPHRAIM MARGOLIN
BRADFORD L. BATTSON
240 Stockton Street, Third Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
Telephone: (415) 421-4347

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

2

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

## FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH L. BEEBE; and AMERICAN DOG OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., a Non-Profit Corporation,

No. H-138361-6

PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

Petitioners and plaintiffs,

V.

CITY OF UNION CITY, CALIFORNIA; and DOES 1-20.

Respondents and defendants.

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

To: City of Union City, Respondent:

WHEREAS petitioners served and filed herein their duly verified petition for writ of mandate, and a hearing was held herein on March 16, 1989;

WHEREAS it appears to this court that petitioners have no other plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law;

WHEREAS it appears to this court that you have failed to perform your legal duty by enacting and enforcing Chapter 8.36 of the Union City Municipal Code;

THEREFORE, you (respondent Union City) are hereby commanded immediately after receipt of this writ to cease and desist from enforcing any of the provisions of Chapter 8.36 of the Union City

	Municipal Code.
1	Witness the Honorable Demetrios P. Agretelis, Judge of the
2	Superior Court.
3	Attest my hand and the seal of this court this day of
4	hay , 1989.  RENE C. DAVIDSON, COUNTY CLERK
5	Clerk
6	By WOON DAYBELL Deputy
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA FOR THE STAME OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH L. BEEBE: and AMERICAN DOG OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., a Non-Profit Corporation,

H-138361-6 ORDER AND JUDGMENT

No.

Petitioners and plaintiffs.

v.

CITY OF UNION CITY CALIFORNIA; and DOES 1-20.

Respondents and defendants.

13

14

15

Iδ

17

18

1

3

5

6

3

9

IO

11

12

The Court, having considered the petition for writ of mandate and the papers submitted by all parties on the petition, and having heard oral argument by the parties on March 16, 1989, hereby rules that Chapter 8.36 of the Union City Municipal Code violates the California and United States Constitutions because it (1) is unconstitutionally vague and (2) violates procedural due process for failure to provide for a hearing before or after seizure of a dog.

Therefore, a Writ of Mandate, as attached hereto, shall issue directing respondent City of Union City to cease enforcing any of the provisions of Chapter 8.36 of the Union City Municipal Code.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 DATED:

Presented and Signed

APR 13 1989

Approved as to form:

DATED: 43187

DATED: 3/22

## DEMETRIOS AGRETELIS

Honorable Demetrios P. Agretelis

T. Galliano

Attorney for Respondent

City of Union City

Ephraim Margolin

Attorney for Petitioners Kenneth L. Beebe and

American Dog Owners Association